UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/840,095	05/06/2004	Chao-Lung Chen	N1085-00288	7304	
54657 DUANE MOR	54657 7590 04/05/2007 DUANE MORRIS LLP			EXAMINER	
IP DEPARTMENT (TSMC)			NGUYEN, THANH T		
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2813		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/840,095	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
71 4444 100 0 4 7 7 4 4 1	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingle apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	nuary 2007.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-17 and 21-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicatity documents have been received in Received. I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	»□	(DTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

The request filed on 1/13/07 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simpson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,297,155).

Depositing a seed layer (116) on a surface of the wafer;

electroplating the metal layer (see figures 3-7, see abstract, col. 5, lines 53-60) on the wafer (102) by:

first immersing the wafer in a first electrolytic solution containing metal ions and first biasing the wafer negatively (noted that plating wafer must always put on negative side so that positive metal ions can be attracted to the wafer and therefore deposit on the wafer) with respect to the first electrolytic solution so as to create a first current flow and a first current density

Application/Control Number: 10/840,095 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

(252), the first immersing being the initial step in the electroplating (see figures 3, 9, col. 13, lines 22-39);

then immersing the wafer in a second electrolytic solution that contains metal ions and secondly biasing the wafer negatively (noted that plating wafer must always put on negative side so that positive metal ions can be attracted to the wafer and therefore deposit on the wafer) with respect to the second electrolytic solution so as to create a second current flow and a second current density (254), the second current density (254) being greater than zero and less than the first current density (252, see figures 5, 9, col. 13, lines 40-55); and

then immersing the wafer in a third electrolytic solution that contains metal ions and thirdly biasing the wafer negatively (noted that plating wafer must always put on negative side so that positive metal ions can be attracted to the wafer and therefore deposit on the wafer) with respect to the third electrolytic solution so as to create a third current flow and a third current density (256), the third current density (256) being greater than the first current density (252, see figure 9, col. 13, lines 56-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simpson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,297,155) as applied to claim 18 above in view of a person of ordinary skill in the art.

Simpson et al. teach electroplating the metal in the via at different current densities in the plasma chamber.

However, the reference does not teach the second electrolytic solution take place for 1-30 seconds and the current density being no greater than 0.0016 amps/cm².

Nevertheless, the specification contains no disclosure of the critical nature of the second current density and the time or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen limitations or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen limitations are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made to optimize the second current density and the time, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art (i.e.-electroplating the copper, see figure 5), discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time of the invention was made would form a copper layer with teach the second electrolytic solution take place for 1-30 seconds and the current density being no greater than 0.0016 amps/cm² in process of Simpson et al. because choosing an optimum range of current densities, time to form a desire copper film for interconnect.

Art Unit: 2813

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-2, 4-17, 21-23 are allowed because none of the prior art alone or in combination teaches or suggests the particular subset of the process steps in forming electroplating a metal film onto a wafer by a continuous electrochemical deposition, and the first step being the initial

step in the continuous electrochemical deposition..

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1695, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to thy Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN